

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.mpto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/854,859	05/14/2001	Roberto Luiz Bruno Penteado	. 211267	9919	
	590 05/28/2003				
LEYDIG VOIT & MAYER, LTD TWO PRUDENTIAL PLAZA, SUITE 4900 180 NORTH STETSON AVENUE			EXAMINER		
			PULLIAM, AMY E		
CHICAGO, IL	00001-0780		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1615	7	
			DATE MAILED: 05/28/2003	/	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No. Applicant(s)						
Office Action Summary		09/854,859		PENTEADO ET AL.				
		Examin r		Art Unit				
, . <u></u>		Amy E Pulliam		1615				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status								
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 N	<u>1arch 2003</u> .						
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) Thi	s action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims								
<u> </u>	Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are pending in the application.							
-	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration						
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are rejected.								
	7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
·	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.						
Application Papers								
9) 🗌 :	The specification is objected to by the Examiner	·.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.								
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a)⊠ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.								
Attachment(s)								
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice		(PTO-413) Paper No Patent Application (PT				
S Patent and To	adamad Office							

Art Unit: 1615

DETAILED ACTION

Receipt of Papers

Receipt is acknowledged of the Request for Extension of Time and the Amendment A, both received by the Office March 25, 2003.

The examiner acknowledges Applicant's statement that a revised specification will be submitted upon an indication that the claims are allowable. However, until such a specification is submitted, the following objections and rejections are maintained and restated below.

Specification

Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

Arrangement of the Specification

The following guidelines illustrate the preferred layout for the specification of a utility application. These guidelines are suggested for the applicant's use.

As provided in 37 CFR 1.77(b), the specification of a utility application should include the following sections in order. Each of the lettered items should appear in upper case, without underlining or bold type, as a section heading. If no text follows the section heading, the phrase "Not Applicable" should follow the section heading:

Art Unit: 1615

(a) TITLE OF THE INVENTION.

- (b) CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS.
- (c) STATEMENT REGARDING FEDERALLY SPONSORED RESEARCH OR DEVELOPMENT.
- (d) INCORPORATION-BY-REFERENCE OF MATERIAL SUBMITTED ON A COMPACT DISC (See 37 CFR 1.52(e)(5) and MPEP 608.05. Computer program listings (37 CFR 1.96(c)), "Sequence Listings" (37 CFR 1.821(c)), and tables having more than 50 pages of text are permitted to be submitted on compact discs.) or

Page 3

REFERENCE TO A "MICROFICHE APPENDIX" (See MPEP \S 608.05(a).

"Microfiche Appendices" were accepted by the Office until March 1, 2001.)

- (e) BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION.
 - (1) Field of the Invention.
 - (2) Description of Related Art including information disclosed under 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.
- (f) BRIEF SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION.
- (g) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE SEVERAL VIEWS OF THE DRAWING(S).
- (h) DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION.
- (i) CLAIM OR CLAIMS (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (j) ABSTRACT OF THE DISCLOSURE (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (k) SEQUENCE LISTING (See MPEP § 2424 and 37 CFR 1.821-1.825. A "Sequence Listing" is required on paper if the application discloses a nucleotide or amino acid sequence as defined in 37 CFR 1.821(a) and if the required "Sequence Listing" is not submitted as an electronic document on compact disc).

Content of Specification

- (a) <u>Title of the Invention</u>: See 37 CFR 1.72(a) and MPEP § 606. The title of the invention should be placed at the top of the first page of the specification unless the title is provided in an application data shet. The title of the invention should be brief but technically accurate and descriptive, preferably from two to seven words may not contain more than 500 characters.
- (b) <u>Cross-References to Related Applications</u>: See 37 CFR 1.78 and MPEP § 201.11.
- (c) <u>Statement Regarding Federally Sponsored Research and Development</u>: See MPEP § 310.
- (d) <u>Incorporation-By-Reference Of Material Submitted On a Compact Disc:</u> The specification is required to include an incorporation-by-reference of electronic documents that are to become part of the permanent United States Patent and Trademark Office records in the file of a patent application. See 37 CFR 1.52(e)

Art Unit: 1615

and MPEP § 608.05. Computer program listings (37 CFR 1.96(c)), "Sequence Listings" (37 CFR 1.821(c)), and tables having more than 50 pages of text were permitted as electronic documents on compact discs beginning on September 8, 2000.

Page 4

Or alternatively, <u>Reference to a "Microfiche Appendix"</u>: See MPEP § 608.05(a). "Microfiche Appendices" were accepted by the Office until March 1, 2001.

- (e) <u>Background of the Invention</u>: See MPEP § 608.01(c). The specification should set forth the Background of the Invention in two parts:
 - (1) <u>Field of the Invention</u>: A statement of the field of art to which the invention pertains. This statement may include a paraphrasing of the applicable U.S. patent classification definitions of the subject matter of the claimed invention. This item may also be titled "Technical Field."
 - (2) Description of the Related Art including information disclosed under 37

 CFR 1.97 and 37 CFR 1.98: A description of the related art known to the applicant and including, if applicable, references to specific related art and problems involved in the prior art which are solved by the applicant's invention. This item may also be titled "Background Art."
- (f) Brief Summary of the Invention: See MPEP § 608.01(d). A brief summary or general statement of the invention as set forth in 37 CFR 1.73. The summary is separate and distinct from the abstract and is directed toward the invention rather than the disclosure as a whole. The summary may point out the advantages of the invention or how it solves problems previously existent in the prior art (and preferably indicated in the Background of the Invention). In chemical cases it should point out in general terms the utility of the invention. If possible, the nature and gist of the invention or the inventive concept should be set forth. Objects of the invention should be treated briefly and only to the extent that they contribute to an understanding of the invention.
- (g) <u>Brief Description of the Several Views of the Drawing(s)</u>: See MPEP § 608.01(f). A reference to and brief description of the drawing(s) as set forth in 37 CFR 1.74.
- (h) <u>Detailed Description of the Invention</u>: See MPEP § 608.01(g). A description of the preferred embodiment(s) of the invention as required in 37 CFR 1.71. The description should be as short and specific as is necessary to describe the invention adequately and accurately. Where elements or groups of elements, compounds, and processes, which are conventional and generally widely known in the field of the invention described and their exact nature or type is not necessary for an understanding and use of the invention by a person skilled in the art, they should not be described in detail. However, where particularly complicated subject matter is involved or where the elements, compounds, or

Application/Control Number: 09/854,859 Page 5

Art Unit: 1615

processes may not be commonly or widely known in the field, the specification should refer to another patent or readily available publication which adequately describes the subject matter.

- (i) Claim or Claims: See 37 CFR 1.75 and MPEP § 608.01(m). The claim or claims must commence on separate sheet (37 CFR 1.52(b)). Where a claim sets forth a plurality of elements or steps, each element or step of the claim should be separated by a line indentation. There may be plural indentations to further segregate subcombinations or related steps. See 37 CFR 1.75 and MPEP § 608.01(i)-(p).
- (j) Abstract of the Disclosure: See MPEP § 608.01(f). A brief narrative of the disclosure as a whole in a single paragraph of 150 words or less commencing on a separate sheet following the claims. In an international application which has entered the national stage (37 CFR 1.491(b)), the applicant need not submit an abstract commencing on a separate sheet if an abstract was published with the international application under PCT Article 21. The abstract that appears on the cover page of the pamphlet published by the International Bureau (IB) of the World Intellectual Property Organization (WIPO) is the abstract that will be used by the USPTO. See MPEP § 1893.03(e).
- (k) <u>Sequence Listing.</u> See 37 CFR 1.821-1.825 and MPEP §§ 2421-2431. The requirement for a sequence listing applies to all sequences disclosed in a given application, whether the sequences are claimed or not. See MPEP § 2421.02.

Spacing of the Specification

The spacing of the lines of the specification is such as to make reading and entry of amendments difficult. New application papers with lines double spaced on good quality paper are required.

Claim Objections

Claims 1 and 2 are objected to because of the following informalities: The claims do not comply with the requirements of MPEP §§ 608.01 (i)- (p) or 37 CFR 1.75. See, in particular, MPEP 608.01(m). Attention and appropriate correction is required.

Art Unit: 1615

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claim 1, the phrases within the parentheses render the claim indefinite because it is unclear whether the limitation(s) following the phrase are part of the claimed invention. See MPEP § 2173.05(d). Attention and appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent 5,700,782 to Cope *et al.*: in view of US Patent 5,770,217 to Kutilek, III *et al.*.

Cope et al. disclose a nutritional product which has utility for persons with cancer. More specifically, Cope et al. teach that the composition comprises folic acid (c 2, 1 1-11 and c 3, table 3), dietary fiber (c 2, 1 44-46), pyridoxine (c 3, table 3), and cyanocobalamin (c 3, table 3).

Cope et al. do not specifically incorporate phytosteroids into their formulation.

Art Unit: 1615

Kutilek discloses a dietary supplement comprising herbs and herbal extracts, vitamins, minerals, and amino acids effective in modulating hematological toxicities, enhancing the immune system, and maintaining appetite and weight (abstract). Kutilek also teaches that a variety of oriental herbs and medicines have been used as pharmaceutical agents having acrying degrees of effectiveness in the treatment of physiological problems, including cancer, immune deficiencies, and appetite loss (c 1, 125-30). More specifically, Kutilek teaches that extracts of the plant Mai Men Dong contains stigmasterol, and shown to possess anti-pyretic, anti-inflammatory, and anti-tussive properties.

It is the position of the examiner that one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to include a phytosterol, such as stigmasterol, in the composition of Cope *et al.*. The motivation for this combination lies in the disclosure of Kutilek, which teaches that stigmasterol is known to have beneficial properties as an additive to a nutritional supplement. The expected result would be a successful pharmaceutical or nutritional supplement with added benefits or those suffering from health problems, including cancer, immune deficiencies, or appetite loss. Therefore, this invention as a whole would have been *prima facie* obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made.

Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent 5,700,782 to Cope *et al.* in view of US Patent 5,770,749 to Kutney *et al.*. OR US Patent 5,929,062 to Haines OR US Patent 5,932,562 to Ostlund, Jr.

Art Unit: 1615

Cope et al. disclose a nutritional product. More specifically, Cope et al. teach that the composition comprises folic acid (c 2, 1 1-11 and c 3, table 3), dietary fiber (c 2, 1 44-46), pyridoxine (c 3, table 3), and cyanocobalamin (c 3, table 3).

Cope et al. do not specifically incorporate phytosteroids into their formulation. However, the following three references are relied upon to show that phytosteroids, specifically those claimed by applicant, are known to have a beneficial and nutritional effect in dietary supplements, and therefore would have been obvious to incorporate into the supplement of Cope et al.

Kutney *et al.* teach that phytosterols closely resemble cholesterol, and are excellent at lowering blood cholesterol in animals. Kutney *et al.* specifically refer to campesterol and stigmasterol as known phytosterols. Furthermore, Kutney *et al.* teach that the use of phytosterols as a dietary supplement has been widely investigated. (See c 2, 114 - c3, 15).

Haines discloses a choesterol lowering composition. More specifically, Haines teaches that plant sterols, such as stigmasterol and campoesterol have been long added to patients' diets to treat hypercholesterolemia (c 1, 1 36-38).

Ostlund discloses a composition useful in reducing cholsterol. Ostlund specifically teaches that campesterol and stigmasterol are useful as drug or dietary supplements, or as food additives to aid in the reduction of cholesterol (c 4, 1 27-45).

It is the position of the examiner that one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to include a phytosterol in the composition of Cope *et al.*. The motivation for this combination lies in the teachings of the above three references, which teach that sphytosterols are known to have beneficial properties as additives to a nutritional supplement. The expected

Art Unit: 1615

result would be a successful pharmaceutical or nutritional supplement with added benefits, particularly for those suffering from hypercholesterolemia. Therefore, this invention as a whole would have been *prima facie* obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made.

Response to Arguments

Applicants' arguments, filed March 25, 2003, have been fully received but are not found to be persuasive. Applicant simply states that there is no motivation to combine the principal reference with any of the secondary references, as the principal reference is directed towards an enteral nutritional supplement for persons with cancer. Applicant further asserts that this use does not appear to be disclosed by any of the cited references, and therefore the rejection is premised upon high insight reconstruction of the references.

The examiner respectfully disagrees. Applicant has ignored the motivation set forth by the examiner in the above rejections. In the first rejection, it is stated that Kutilkey teaches that phytosterols are known to have beneficial properties as additives to nutritional supplements, of which Cope et al. teaches one. The second rejection relied upon the teachings in the references that phytosteroids are known to have a beneficial and nutritional effect in dietary supplements. The examiner maintains her position, as previously set forth. Therefore, the rejections are maintained, and applied to new claim 3.

Conclusion

Art Unit: 1615

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Amy E Pulliam whose telephone number is 703-308-4710. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs 7:30-5:00, Alternate Fri 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thurman Page can be reached on 703-308-2927. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-3592 for regular communications and 703-305-3592 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1235.

A. Pulliam May 22, 2003 THURMAN K. PAGE SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600